

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upoto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,538	04/03/2006	Kap Soo Shin	9988.306.00	7012
30827 MCKENNA I	7590 03/05/2005 ONG & ALDRIDGE LI		EXAMINER	
1900 K STREET, NW			STINSON, FRANKIE L	
WASHINGTO	DN, DC 20006		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1792	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/574 538 SHIN, KAP SOO

	10/07 1,000	011111,1011 000					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	/FRANKIE L. STINSON/	1792					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after 53/6 (i) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply with the set or extended period for reply with 194 Land Land (ii) and 194 Land (iii) and (i	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
· _							
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	coloction requirement						
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)□ objected to by the I	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	⊢(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate					
A) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (F10/S8/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/3/2006	6) Other:	атал гурпошоп					

Application/Control Number: 10/574,538

Art Unit: 1792

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EPO'940 (European Patent Office 0 793 943) in view of Osvatic et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 7,367,134), Schaaf et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 6,349,731) or Japan'933 (Japan 10-306933) and Japan'981 (Japan 11-346981)

Re claims 1, 5, 7, 12 and 18, EPO.940 is cited disclosing a dishwasher comprising; a tub (8);

a door (10) pivotally mounted on a front portion of the tub, the door comprising a door cover (14) and a door liner (16) mounted on a rear surface of the door cover;

a blower cover (27) assembly comprising contacting the door liner, quide ribs (see fig. 1) and

a rack (not shown, however typical) formed in the tub to receive dishes that differs from the claims only in the recitation of the blower cover assembly comprising a circular attaching cap inserted into the door liner, a cylindrical cap body extending from one side of the attaching cap and a guide sleeve extending from another side of the attaching cap and provided with a drain hole, with the guide rib formed on an inner circumference of the guide sleeve and inclined in a direction. Osvatic (see fig. 4), Schaaf (see 48, fig. 2) and Japan'933 (see fig. 6) are each cited disclosing in a ventilation arrangement, the a circular attaching cap, a cylindrical cap body extending from one side of the attaching

Art Unit: 1792

cap and a quide sleeve extending from another side of the attaching cap as claimed. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, with predictable results, to modify the blower cover assembly of EPO'940, to include a circular attaching cap, cylindrical cap body and a guide sleeve as taught by Osvatic. Schaaf or Japan'933 with no change in their respective function, since this is considered to be a mere substitution of equivalents since it is old and well known to substitute one, functionally similar component, for other equally functioning components, where the respective components have some structural differences (see MPEP 2144.06 SUBSTITUTING EQUIVALENTS KNOWN FOR THE SAME PURPOSE). All of the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. In regard to the drain hole, Japan'981 (see fig. 5) discloses a cover comprising a drain hole as claimed. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, with predictable results, to modify the blower cover assembly of EPO'940, to include a drain hole as taught by Japan'981 with no change in their respective function, for the purpose of removing extraneous water. It is old and well known to keep liquids in a contained environment, to prevent injury or damage. All of the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Also

note the inclined in a direction of the ribs in Japan'933. Re claims 2 and 11, Japan'933 discloses the v-shape. Re claims 3, 8 and 10, Schaaf and Japan'933 each disclose the center rib. Re claims 4 and 9, Schaaf discloses the higher end as claimed. Re claim 6, Schaaf (as at 52) and Osvatic (as at 41) each disclose the locking ring. Re claim 12, EPO'940 discloses the thickness as claimed. Re claim 16, Japan'933, Osvatic, and Schaaf each disclose the less diameter as claimed. Re claim 17, Schaaf discloses the inclined ribs.

3. Claims 14 and 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applied prior art as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Japan'507..

Claim 14 defines over the applied prior art only in the recitation of the identical diameters. Japan'507 is cited disclosing the diameter as claimed (se fig. 2). It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, with predictable results, to modify the blower cover assembly of EPO'904, to include a the identical diameters as taught by Japan'507, with no change in their respective function, since this is considered to be a mere change in size (see MPEP 2144.04, IV CHANGES IN SIZE, SHAPE, OR SEQUENCE OF ADDING INGREDIENTS). All of the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Re claim 15, Schaaf (as at 52) and Osvatic (as at 41) each disclose the locking ring.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,538

Art Unit: 1792

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In Johnsson et al., Herbst et al., Quayle, Yake, Anselmino et al., Kang, Japan'415, Japan'469, Japan'193, Japan'001, Switzerland'891, EPO'773 and EPO'532, note the cover means.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is
(571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 am to 2:00
pm and some Saturdays from approximately 5:30 am to 11:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).